

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,713	10/018,713 12/12/2001		Leonard Reiffel	TEMP2US	4928
23407	7590	06/16/2004		EXAMINER	
DONALI	F MOYE	R	WINAKUR, ERIC FRANK		
431 S DEARBORN 705 CHICAGO, IL 60605				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3736	
				DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/018,713	REIFFEL, LEONARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication com	Eric F Winakur	3736				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 M	<u>arch 2004</u> .					
,—	action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4)	vn from consideration. cted. bjected to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
-,	epted or b) objected to by the					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/018,713 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrases "the channel" (line 2) and "the bulb" (line 3) lack antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The rejection of claims 1, 5, and 7 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Deficis is hereby maintained.
- 5. Claims 1, 5, 7, 10, 11, 15, 17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cotter. Applicant's attention is drawn to Figure 2 and the description of column 1, lines 48 65 and column 2, lines 38 67.

Double Patenting

6. The rejection of claims 1 and 2 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,250,800 is hereby maintained. Applicant's comments regarding the double patenting rejection are noted. As Applicant's amendment has not distinguished the

Art Unit: 3736

claims of the application from those of the patent, the double patenting rejection is maintained.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 3/8/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends that Deficis is for measuring temperature, not for measuring the fluid. It is noted that the claim recites "making possible measurement of fluid length" but does not specifically require that the length actually be determined. As such, this does not distinguish over Deficis. Even with the structure of Deficis, one could determine the fluid length by making additional measurements/calibrations before inserting the device into the subject. Thus, using the device of Deficis makes possible the determination of the length (which is all that is required to meet the claim), even if such a result is not required/contemplated by Deficis in implementing their device.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12 - 14, 16, 18, and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/018,713 Page 4

Art Unit: 3736

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric F Winakur whose telephone number is 703/308-3940. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:30-5; alternate Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mary Beth Jones can be reached on 703/308-3400. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ERIC F. WINAKUR
PRIMARY EXAMINER